

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,296	02/28/2002	Yoshifumi Kawaguchi	nifumi Kawaguchi JP920010054US1 7523		
7590 05/04/2006			EXAMINER		
Duke W. Yee, Esq. Yee and Associates			WINTER, JOHN M		
4100 Alpha Road, Suite 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 75241			3621		

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
		10/085,296	KAWAGUCHI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		John M. Winter	3621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 M</u>	arch 2006.				
		action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>3-6,8,11-14 and 16-18</u> is/are pending	in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>3-6,8,11-14 and 16-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 3/23/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/085,296

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 3-6,8,11-14 and 16-18 are pending.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

The Applicants arguments filed on March 4, 2006 have been fully considered. The amended claims are rejected in view of Horstmann (US Patent 6,009,401). See following rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-6,8,11-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colosso (US Patent 6,169,976) in view of Hecksel et al. (US Patent No 6,151,707) and further in view of Frison et al. (US Patent 6,049,789) and further in view of Horstmann (US Patent 6,009,401).

As per claim 3,

Colosso ('976) discloses a method for updating a license period of a program; comprising:

a step of issuing a request to an index server for transmission of an index file if a determination made by said first determination step is false; (Column 9, lines 16-29 [generating an account])

Page 3

a step of receiving the index file from said index server; (Column 11, lines 34-48; column 12 lines 19-37 [.. emails serial number and connection identifier])

a step of receiving authentication information from said authentication server; (Column 15, lines 19-25 .. sends key information to the customer..)

a second determination step of determining whether information indicative of success of authentication is contained in said authentication information; (Column 15, lines 45-60 [the customer is provided a second opportunity to enter valid information .. obviously this point would only be reached if the initial attempt of authentication was unsuccessful.])

a step of updating the license period of said program if a determination made by said second determination step is true.(Column 16, lines 5-9 [stores the keys ..])

Colosso ('976) does not specifically disclose a first determination step of determining whether a current date and time is within a license period of the program. Hecksel et al. ('707) discloses a first determination step of determining whether a current date and time is within a license period of the program. (Abstract [determining a current registration status based on a current data, a reference date, and conducting post registration activity based on the status of the program.]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Colosso ('976) method with the Hecksel et al. ('707). method in order to determine the status of the license.

Colosso ('976) does not specifically disclose a step of issuing an authentication request to an authentication server with an address of the authentication server contained in said index file; (Column 13, lines 7-19). Frison et al. ('789) discloses a step of issuing an authentication request to an authentication server with an address of the authentication server contained in said index file (Figure 5, Column 3, lines 55-66 [... other information such as date, time, host, user ...]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Colosso ('976) method with the Frison et al. ('789) method in order to determine the status of the license.

Colosso ('976) does not specifically disclose wherein address information of said authentication server contained in said index file is encrypted, further comprising the step of decrypting the encrypted address information of said authentication server. Horstmann '401 discloses wherein address information of said authentication server contained in said index file is encrypted, further comprising the step of decrypting the encrypted address information of said authentication server (Column 5, lines 3-16 [ticket contains machine ID, and is decrypted by the product server]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Colosso ('976) method with the Horstmann (''401) method in order to protect the license information from tampering during transmission from server to client.

Colosso ('976) does not specifically disclose wherein said first determination step is performed upon activation of said program and wherein said program becomes executable after automatically updating said license period. Horstmann '401 discloses wherein wherein said first determination step is performed upon activation of said program and wherein said program becomes executable after automatically updating said license period (Figure 1 [unlocks and installs software]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Colosso ('976) method with the Horstmann (''401) method in order to allow the user to relicense an older version of a product.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,296

Art Unit: 3621

Claims 11 and 17 are in parallel with claim 1 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 4,

Colosso ('976) discloses the method according to claim 1,

wherein said authentication request contains user identification information to determine whether a user belongs to a group that is licensed to use said program. (Column 13, lines 20-39)

Claim 12 is in parallel with claim 4 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 5,

Colosso ('976) discloses the method according to claim 4,

further comprising the step of displaying a display screen before issuing said authentication request, the display screen requiring inputting of said user identification information and, if necessary, a password. (Column 13, lines 7-19)

Claim 13 is in parallel with claim 5 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 6,

Colosso ('976) discloses the method according to claim 5,

Official Notice is taken that "wherein said index file contains information about the terms of the license of the program, and wherein said display screen displays information about the terms of the license of said program in addition to the requirement of inputting said user identification information and said password" is common and well known in prior art in reference to licensing. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to display terns and usage information along with inputting a password in order to determine that the cinsumer has considered the terms of usage. The Examiner notes that this feature is commonly implemented in end user license agreements (EULA's).

Claim 14 is in parallel with claim 6 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colosso (US Patent 6,169,976).

As per claim 18,

Colosso ('976) discloses a method for licensing the use of a program, comprising the steps of:

receiving a request from a user; (Column 9, lines 16-29)

creating or selecting an index file containing address information of a server that grants authentication regarding a license of the program to be used by the user in response to receipt of

Application/Control Number: 10/085,296

Art Unit: 3621

the request; and sending said index file to the user who issued said request. (Column 11, lines 34-48; column 12 lines 19-37; column 13, lines 7-19)

As per claim 8,

Colosso ('976) discloses a method licensing the use of a program comprising the steps of receiving a request from a user; (Column 9, lines 16-29)

Colosso ('976) does not specifically disclose creating or selecting an index file containing address information of a server that grants authentication regarding a license of the program to be used by the user in response to receipt of the request; wherein said address information contained in said index file is encrypted and, sending said index file to the user who issued said request. Horstmann '401 discloses wherein creating or selecting an index file containing address information of a server that grants authentication regarding a license of the program to be used by the user in response to receipt of the request; wherein said address information contained in said index file is encrypted and, sending said index file to the user who issued said request. (Column 5, lines 3-16 [ticket contains machine ID, and is decrypted by the product server]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Colosso ('976) method with the Horstmann (''401) method in order to protect the license information from tampering during transmission from server to client.

Claim 16 is in parallel with claim 8 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Winter whose telephone number is (571) 272-6713. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached at (571) 272-6712.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 3621

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687

[Official communications; including After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703) 308-1396

[Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the Examiner in the Knox Building, 50

Dulany St. Alexandria, VA

JMW

May 1, 2006